

5.a. Review & Vote on Consultant Team 2021 Scope of Work and Budget

Key Discussion Question(s):

- 1. Do the presented variances to the SOW represent legitimate changes that require, or likely will require, additional services?
- 2. Is the requested budget increase and/or scope of work change warranted to support all EERMC roles and responsibilities?
- 3. Does the EERMC have the funding to accommodate this funding increase?
- 4. Do the proposed changes maintain the competitive nature of the consultant RFP selection process?

Recommended vote language:

- 1. WITHOUT AMENDMENTS: A motion to approve the requested \$69,000 increase in funding allocated to consultant services in 2021 and to approve the proposed changes to the consultant scope of work as written by Optimal Energy. If any of this funding increase is unused by the end of 2021, the remainder shall return to the unallocated pool of the EERMC's funds for 2022. OER shall also update the contract with the EERMC to reflect these budget and scope of work changes.
- 2. WITH AMENDMENTS: A motion to approve a _\$X___ increase in funding allocated to consultant services in 2021 and to approve the proposed changes to the consultant scope of work with the following amendments: _____ If any of this funding increase is unused by the end of 2021, the remainder shall return to the unallocated pool of the EERMC's funds for 2022. OER shall also update the contract with the EERMC to reflect these budget and scope of work changes.

5.b. Discussion & Vote on Review Committee Recommendation for K-12 Energy Curriculum Training Proposal Selection

Key Discussion Question(s):

- 1. Is the Review Committee recommendation clear? Are there questions for the Review Committee?
- 2. What would be the most advantageous selection/contract for the EERMC?

Recommended vote language:

1. *No amendments to the Review Committee Recommendation:* A motion to approve the Review Committee's recommendation as written and to direct the Office of Energy Resources to update the Request for Proposals (RFP) and re-issue within the next three weeks

2.	With amendments to the Review Committee Recommendation: A motion to direct the
	Office of Energy Resources to re-issue the RFP within the next three weeks, with the
	following amendments to the RFP:

3.	To award a contract to the qualified vendor: A motion to award Green Building
	Research Institute (GBRI) a contract containing tasks _[selected task Numbers within
	the Cost proposal: e.g. Tasks 1-4]as the scope of work, which still meets the
	Council's requirements, and with a maximum contract amount of <u>\$X</u> . In addition,
	the Council directs the Office of Energy Resources to begin contract negotiations with
	GBRI as soon as possible and to work with the Council's attorney and the Acting
	Chair to execute a contract with an initial term length of 1 year.